

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/893,943	PRABHU ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Andy Ho	2194

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Andy Ho. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Cheryl Lee Young, Reg. No. 43,298. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1 and 21

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM THOMSON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims of the amendment filed 8/2/2006 are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 1 and 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.